

Quasi-Free States of the C.C.R. — Algebra and Bogoliubov Transformations*

J. MANUCEAU**, and A. VERBEURE***

Centre de Physique Théorique, University of Aix-Marseille

Received April 20, 1968

Abstract. We give a complete characterization of quasi-free states (generalized free states) of the C.C.R. algebra. We prove that the pure quasi-free states are all Fock states and that any two Fock states are related through a symplectic automorphism (Bogoliubov transformation). We make an explicit construction of these representations which correspond to primary quasi-free states.

I. Introduction

In this work we study the set of quasi-free states on the C.C.R. algebra. The notion of quasi-free states is introduced by D. W. ROBINSON [1] in his study of the ground state of the Bose gas. Until now, one was not able to construct exactly solvable physical models, whose solutions do not belong to the set of quasi-free states. It is interesting to study this set of states in order to derive its most general properties hoping that their general properties may throw some light on the problem of construction of non-trivial models.

From a technical point of view, we start with a symplectic space (H, σ) and consider the C.C.R. C^* -algebra $\overline{\Delta(H, \sigma)}$ [2] built on it. We prove that the pure quasi-free states are all Fock states and that any pure quasi-free state can be obtained from another pure quasi-free state by acting on it through an automorphism of the algebra induced by a symplectic operator on (H, σ) . The converse statement is well known by physicists as Bogoliubov transformations. Explicit representations induced by quasi-free states of C.C.R. are given. Amongst all representations we characterize the primary ones. The last property turned to be important to characterize physical systems in statistical mechanics [3]. This property was outlined by ARAKI and WOODS [4] for the temperature states of the free Bose gas which are quasi-free states.

* Work under contract D.G.R.S.T.

** Attaché de Recherches au C.N.R.S. This work is part of a "Thèse de Doctorat d'Etat" presented to the "Faculté des Sciences de Marseille" in May 1968, under the number A.O.2.323.

*** Aangesteld Navorsers van het Belgisch N.F.W.O. On leave from University of Louvain (Belgium).

In section II we recall the definition and some properties of our basic C^* -algebra $\overline{\Delta(H, \sigma)}$, and collect the mathematical tools we need in section III for the treatment of quasi-free states.

II. Mathematical Preliminaries

II.1 The C.C.R. algebra $\overline{\Delta(H, \sigma)}$

For completeness we recall the definition of the C.C.R. C^* -algebra $\overline{\Delta(H, \sigma)}$. More details can be found in ref. [2].

Let H be a real vector space and σ a symplectic form on H (i.e. σ is a bilinear, antisymmetric, regular mapping from $H \times H$ into R). We denote by δ_ψ the real function on H defined by $\delta_\psi(\varphi) = 0$ if $\psi \neq \varphi$ and $\delta_\psi(\psi) = 1$. The product of δ_ψ with δ_φ is defined by

$$\delta_\psi \delta_\varphi = e^{-i\sigma(\psi, \varphi)} \delta_{\psi+\varphi}$$

and we consider the complex algebra $\Delta(H, \sigma)$ generated by the δ_ψ 's for all $\psi \in H$; equipped with the involution $\delta_\psi \rightarrow (\delta_\psi)^* = \delta_{-\psi}$ the algebra $\Delta(H, \sigma)$ becomes a $*$ -algebra.

The set $\mathcal{R}(H, \sigma)$ of representations of the C.C.R. is the set of representations π of $\Delta(H, \sigma)$ such that the mapping $\lambda \in R \rightarrow \pi(\delta_\lambda)$ is a weakly continuous mapping from R into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ for all $\psi \in H$. All these representations induce the same norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\Delta(H, \sigma)$ (i.e. $\forall a \in \Delta(H, \sigma) : \|a\| = \|\pi(a)\|$). The completion of $\Delta(H, \sigma)$ with respect to this norm is the C^* -algebra $\overline{\Delta(H, \sigma)}$, isomorphic with the C^* -algebra generated by the Weyl operators $e^{iB(\psi)}$ where $B(\psi)$ are the field operators.

Let \mathcal{J} be the set of all functions f mapping H into C and satisfying the condition $\sum_{k,j=1}^n \bar{a}_k a_j e^{i\sigma(\psi_k, \psi_j)} f(\psi_j - \psi_k) \geq 0$ for all $a_k \in C, \psi_k \in H, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $n \in N$.

Proposition 1. ω is a positive linear form on $\Delta(H, \sigma)$ if and only if the function f , defined by $f(\psi) = \omega(\delta_\psi)$ for all $\psi \in H$, belongs to \mathcal{J} .

Proof. See ([2], 3.2.1).

Under these conditions ω is denoted by ω_f and the representation induced by ω_f through the construction of Gelfand-Naimark is denoted by π_f or π_{ω_f} .

Proposition 2. Let $f \in \mathcal{J}$, it is necessary and sufficient, in order that $\pi_f \in \mathcal{R}(H, \sigma)$ that the mapping $\lambda \in R \rightarrow f(\lambda\psi + \varphi)$ be continuous for all $\psi, \varphi \in H$.

Proof. See ([2], 3.2.2).

We denote by \mathcal{J}_0 the set of all elements $f \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $\pi_f \in \mathcal{R}(H, \sigma)$.

A symplectic operator T on (H, σ) is an operator from H onto H satisfying $\sigma(T\psi, T\varphi) = \sigma(\psi, \varphi)$ for $\psi, \varphi \in H$; let $S(H, \sigma)$ be the group of symplectic operators on (H, σ) .

Proposition 3. For every $T \in S(H, \sigma)$, the mapping $\tau_T: \delta_\psi \rightarrow \delta_{T\psi}$ can be extended to a unique automorphism of $\Delta(\overline{H}, \sigma)$.

Proof. See ([2], 4.1.1).

Proposition 4. Let H^* be the algebraic dual of H . For every $\chi \in H^*$ the mapping $\zeta_\chi: \delta_\psi \rightarrow e^{i\chi(\psi)}\delta_\psi$ can be extended to a unique automorphism of $\Delta(\overline{H}, \sigma)$.

Proof. See ([2], 4.4.1).

Proposition 5. Let π be a cyclic element of $\mathcal{K}(H, \sigma)$, and s a real scalar product on H , such that σ is s -norm continuous and has a continuous regular extension σ' to the s -norm closure \overline{H}^s of H . If the mapping $\psi \in H \rightarrow \pi(\delta_\psi)$ is s -weakly continuous, π has a unique continuous cyclic extension π' to $\Delta(\overline{H}^s, \sigma')$ and for every $\psi \in \overline{H}^s$, $\pi'(\delta_\psi) \in \pi(\Delta(H, \sigma))'$.

The proof is an immediate extension of the proof of ([2], 3.3.4).

Corollary. With the same notations as in prop. 5, π is irreducible (primary) if and only if π' is irreducible (primary).

II.2 Real Scalar Products on (H, σ)

We consider the set \mathcal{S} of bilinear, symmetric, positive forms s mapping $H \times H$ into R such that

a) $|\sigma(\psi, \varphi)| \leq s(\psi, \psi)^{1/2} s(\varphi, \varphi)^{1/2}$ (implying that s is a scalar product).

b) The norm continuous extension σ' of σ to \overline{H}^s is non degenerate. Each $s \in \mathcal{S}$ induces on H the $s(H)$ -weak topology (the weak dual is denoted by $s(H) = \{s_\psi | \psi \in H, s_\psi(\varphi) = s(\psi, \varphi)\}$) and the s -norm topology (the norm dual is denoted H'_s).

Proposition 6. If $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and H is $s(H)$ -quasi-complete, then H is s -norm complete (we have $H = \overline{H}^s$ or (H, s) is a real Hilbert space).

Proof. By definition $H \subset \overline{H}^s$. We show that $\overline{H}^s \subset H$. If $\psi_0 \in \overline{H}^s$, then there exists a Cauchy sequence $(\psi_n)_n$ in H , norm converging to ψ_0 . Using Schwartz inequality $(\psi_n)_n$ converges weakly in \overline{H}^s to ψ_0 :

$$s(\psi_n, \varphi) \rightarrow s(\psi_0, \varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \overline{H}^s.$$

As H is quasi-complete, there exists a $\varphi_0 \in H$ such that

$$s(\psi_n, \varphi) \rightarrow s(\varphi_0, \varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H.$$

Therefore $s(\varphi_0 - \psi_0, \varphi) = 0$ for all $\varphi \in H$. Noting that H is strongly dense in \overline{H}^s we have that $\psi_0 = \varphi_0 \in H$.

We denote by $\sigma(H)$ the set $\{\sigma_\psi | \psi \in H, \sigma_\psi(\varphi) = \sigma(\psi, \varphi)\}$. The vector space H equipped with the $\sigma(H)$ -weak topology is a Hausdorff topological vector space (the weak dual is $\sigma(H)$), because σ is supposed to be non degenerate.

Let A be a $\sigma(H)$ -weakly continuous linear operator of H , then there exists a unique linear continuous operator A^+ of H such that $\sigma(\psi, A\varphi) = \sigma(A^+\psi, \varphi)$ for all $\psi, \varphi \in H$ ([5], p. 419); A^+ is called the adjoint of A with respect to σ .

Proposition 7. *Let $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and let D_s be the linear operator $s^{-1}\sigma$ mapping $\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(H) \cap s(H))$ onto $s^{-1}(\sigma(H) \cap s(H))$ then*

$$1^0) \|D_s\|_s \leq \|\sigma\|_s \leq 1 \left(\|\sigma\|_s = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_s = \|\psi\|_s = 1} |\sigma(\psi, \varphi)| \right).$$

$$2^0) \text{ If } \overline{H^s} = H \text{ we have } \sigma(H) \subset s(H) \text{ and } \begin{cases} \overline{D_s H^s} = H \\ \|D_s\|_s = \|\sigma\|_s \\ D_s^+ = -D_s \end{cases}$$

3⁰) *If $\overline{H^s} = H$ and $\sigma(H) = s(H)$ we have that $A_s = -D_s^{-1}$ is bounded and $A_s^+ A_s \geq 1$.*

Proof. Noting that D_s is a mapping from a normed vector space into another one and that

$$\frac{\|D_s \psi\|_s}{\|\psi\|_s} = \frac{s(D_s \psi, D_s \psi)}{\|\psi\|_s \|D_s \psi\|_s} = \frac{|\sigma(\psi, D_s \psi)|}{\|\psi\|_s \|D_s \psi\|_s} \leq \|\sigma\|_s$$

for all $\psi \in H$, we prove 1⁰).

It follows from the fact that D_s is injective, normal ($\sigma(\psi, D_s \psi) = -\sigma(D_s \psi, \psi)$) and every where defined on H that $\overline{D_s H^s} = H$ and $\sigma = s \circ D_s$.

Therefore

$$\|\sigma\|_s = \sup_{\psi, \psi'} \frac{|s(D_s \psi, \psi')|}{\|\psi\|_s \|\psi'\|_s} \leq \sup_{\psi \in H} \frac{\|D_s \psi\|_s}{\|\psi\|_s} = \|D_s\|_s$$

which proves 2⁰).

If $\overline{H^s} = H$ and $\sigma(H) = s(H)$ then D_s is a one-to-one mapping from H onto H . Consequently $A_s = -D_s^{-1}$ is a bounded operator and $A_s^+ A_s \geq 1$ ([6], § 4, th. VI).

A complex Hilbert structure ([8], p. 28–29) on (H, σ) is given by an operator J on H satisfying $J^2 = -1$, $J^+ = -J$ and $s_J = -\sigma \circ J \geq 0$. It follows from prop. 6 that $s_J \in \mathcal{S}$ and that $(H, s_J + i\sigma)$ is a complex Hilbert space.

Consider an element $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and suppose that $\overline{H^s} = H$, it follows from prop 7 that $A_s = D_s^{-1}$ is a normal operator defined on a dense domain of H . Let $D_s = J|D_s|$ be the polar decomposition of D_s then $[J, |D_s|]_- = 0$, $J^+ = -J$, $J^2 = -1$ ([5], part II, p. 935). The operator J defines a complex structure on (H, σ) , because the range of $|D_s|$ is dense in H and

$$\begin{aligned} s_J(|D_s| \psi, |D_s| \psi) &= -\sigma(J|D_s| \psi, |D_s| \psi) = -\sigma(D_s \psi, |D_s| \psi) \\ &= s(D_s \psi, |D_s| D_s \psi) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|s_J\|_s = \|\sigma\|_s. \end{aligned}$$

From the polar decomposition of D_s and $A_s = -D_s^{-1}$ and the uniqueness of the polar decomposition ([5], part II, sect XII, 7) it follows that $A_s = J|A_s|$ where $|A_s| = |D_s|^{-1} \geq 0$.

For every Hilbert space, in particular for $(\overline{H^{s_J}}, s_J + i\sigma)$, there exists at least one conjugation \mathcal{A} (i.e. $[\mathcal{A}, J]_+ = 0$, $\mathcal{A}^2 = 1$) ([7], prof. A 1). Now we prove.

Proposition 8. For all $s \in \mathcal{S}$ verifying $H = \overline{H}^s$, a conjugation A can be found on $(\overline{H}^{s_J}, s_J + i\sigma)$ such that $[A, |A_s|]_- = 0$.

Proof. Let us firstly notice that:

$$\|D_s\|_{s_J} = \sup_{\psi \in H} \frac{s_J(D_s\psi, D_s\psi)}{s_J(\psi, \psi)} = \sup_{\psi \in H} \frac{s(D_s|D_s|^{1/2}\psi, D_s|D_s|^{1/2}\psi)}{s(|D_s|^{1/2}\psi, |D_s|^{1/2}\psi)} = \|D_s\|_s.$$

The last equality follows, since the range of D_s is dense in H . Thus D_s has a unique continuous extension (denoted as D_s) to \overline{H}^{s_J} . Furthermore, for any $\psi \in H$, $\|\psi\|_{s_J} = \||D_s|^{1/2}\psi\|_s \leq \|\psi\|_s$, and the conditions to get a Friedrichs extension ([9], n° 124), are satisfied. The range of D_s is known to be included in H . The following formula is satisfied in \overline{H}^{s_J} ,

$$|D_s| = \int_0^{\|D_s\|} \lambda dE(\lambda).$$

We get $\overline{H}^{s_J} = \int_{\oplus 0}^{\|D_s\|} H_\lambda d\lambda$, so, let A_λ any conjugation in H_λ , then it readily follows that $A = \int_{\oplus} A_\lambda d\lambda$ is a conjugation in $(\overline{H}^{s_J}, s_J + i\sigma)$, commuting with $|D_s|$; consequently A commutes with $|A_s|$ also.

Remark that the operators A and B are said to commute if they commute on their common domain. It follows from prop. 8 that A commutes with $(|A_s| \pm 1)^{1/2}$ which exists because $|A_s| \geq 1$.

Proposition 9. Let H be $\sigma(H)$ -quasi-complete and J_1, J_2 be operators on H defining a complex Hilbert structure on (H, σ) , then there exists an operator $T \in S(H, \sigma)$ such that $J_1 = T^+ J_2 T$.

The proof of this proposition is completely analogous to that of ([7], lemma 1).

III. Quasi-free States

III.1 Definitions

Let f be a mapping from H into C such that $f(0) = 1$, then f is called quasi-free if

$$f(\psi) = \exp \left\{ f'_0(\psi) + \frac{1}{2} f''_T(\psi, \psi) \right\}$$

where

$$f''_T(\psi, \psi) = f''_0(\psi, \psi) - f'_0(\psi)^2$$

$$f'_\varphi(\psi) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\varphi + \lambda\psi) - f(\varphi)}{\lambda}$$

and consider the mapping $\varphi \in H \rightarrow f'_\varphi(\psi) \in C$ then

$$f''_\varphi(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{f'_{\varphi + \lambda\psi_2}(\psi_1) - f'_\varphi(\psi_1)}{\lambda}.$$

A quasi-free mapping is therefore at least twice differentiable in the above sense.

Suppose that f is a quasi-free mapping, we define a linear form ω_f on $\Delta(H, \sigma)$ by $\omega_f(\delta_\psi) = f(\psi)$. From proposition 1 it follows that ω_f is a state if and only if $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Remark that for quasi-free mappings $f \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Under these conditions ω_f is called a *quasi-free state* and we denote by Q the set of quasi-free states. Let us remark that this definition of quasi-free states coincides with that of D. W. ROBINSON [1], f'_0 and f''_0 are in a trivial way related to the one-point and two-point Wightman functions respectively.

For any $\omega_f \in Q$, by Stones Theorem $\pi_f(\delta_\psi) = e^{iB_f(\psi)}$ where $B_f(\psi)$ is a hermitean, unbounded operator on the representation space, B_f is linear and

$$\omega_f(\delta_\psi) = \langle \xi_f | e^{iB_f(\psi)} | \xi_f \rangle \tag{1}$$

where ξ_f is the cyclic vector of π_f . From (1) it follows that

$$f'_0(\psi) = i(\xi_f | B_f(\psi) | \xi_f)$$

and

$$-i f'_0 \in H^* .$$

In what follows we suppose that $f'_0 = 0$, because if

$$g(\psi) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} f''_T(\psi, \psi) \right\} .$$

Then

$$\omega_f = \omega_g \circ \zeta_{-if'_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_f = \pi_g \circ \zeta_{-if'_0}$$

where $\zeta_{-if'_0}$ is a gauge automorphism (proposition 4).

Denote by Q_0 the set $\{\omega_f \in Q | f'_0 = 0\}$. If $\omega_f \in Q_0$ then

$$f''_T(\psi_1, \psi_2) = i\sigma(\psi_1, \psi_2) - (\xi_f | B_f(\psi_1) B_f(\psi_2) | \xi_f)$$

and

$$f''_T(\psi, \psi) = -(\xi_f | B_f(\psi)^2 | \xi_f) \equiv -s(\psi, \psi) .$$

Therefore any $\omega_f \in Q_0$ can be written as

$$\omega_f(\delta_\psi) \equiv \omega_s(\delta_\psi) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} s(\psi, \psi) \right\}$$

where s is a bilinear, symmetric form on H .

Proposition 10. *The linear form ω_s belongs to Q_0 if and only if*

$$|\sigma(\psi, \varphi)|^2 \leq s(\psi, \psi) s(\varphi, \varphi) \quad \text{for all } \psi, \varphi \in H . \tag{2}$$

Proof. If $\omega_s \in Q_0$ then one has

$$(\xi_s | B_s(\psi_1) B_s(\psi_2) | \xi_s) = s(\psi_1, \psi_2) + i\sigma(\psi_1, \psi_2) .$$

A necessary condition for the positivity of ω_s is

$$(\xi_f | [B_s(\psi) + iB_s(\varphi)] [B_s(\psi) - iB_s(\varphi)] | \xi_f) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \psi, \varphi \in H .$$

This implies

$$|\sigma(\psi, \varphi)| \leq s(\psi, \psi)^{1/2} s(\varphi, \varphi)^{1/2} .$$

The converse statement follows from theorem 2 and 3 below.

Proposition 10 shows that a one-to-one mapping can be found from the set Q_0 onto the set of all elements s satisfying (2).

Now we only consider those quasi-free states ω_s such that $s \in \mathcal{S}$. The remaining quasi-free states will be discussed at the end. Without loss of generality we suppose that for any $s \in \mathcal{S}$, H is complete for the s -norm topology (prop. 5 and corollary).

III.2 Pure States

The Fock states on $\overline{\Delta(H, \sigma)}$ are the elements $\omega_s \in Q_0$ such that $A_s^2 = -1$. The operators A_s define then a complex structure on (H, σ) . The corresponding creation and annihilation operators are

$$B_s^\pm(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \{B_s(\psi) \mp iB_s(A_s\psi)\} \quad \text{for all } \psi \in H.$$

The cyclic vector Ω_s of the Fock representation π_s , induced by ω_s , satisfies

$$B_s^-(\psi)\Omega_s = 0 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in H.$$

Fock representations are irreducible, therefore the Fock states are pure.

Since we supposed H s -norm complete, it follows from the fact that A_s is a bijection of H , that $\sigma(H) = s(H)$, and that H is also $\sigma(H)$ -quasi-complete. Proposition 6 insures that H remains complete for the norm topology induced by any other complex structure. The proof of the following theorem is now a direct consequence of proposition 9.

Theorem 1. *If ω_1 and ω_2 are both Fock states, then an operator $T \in \mathcal{S}(H, \sigma)$ can be found such that $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \circ \tau_T$.*

τ_T is the Bogoliubov transformation; see ([7], appendix A).

IV. Representations

In this section we construct all representations π_s induced by quasi-free states $\omega_s \in Q_0$, $s \in \mathcal{S}$. It follows from proposition 7 that any state $\omega_s \in Q_0$ is uniquely determined by an operator A_s on H such that $A_s^+ = -A_s$ and $A_s^+A_s \geq 1$. In section II we found the polar decomposition of A_s : $A_s = J|A_s|$ where $|A_s| \geq 1$ and $|A_s|$ defined on a dense domain, say H_0 of H . Furthermore $[J, |A_s|]_- = 0$.

We consider the following operators on H_0

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|A_s| + 1)^{1/2} \tag{3}$$

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|A_s| - 1)^{1/2} \tag{4}$$

where Δ is a conjugation commuting with $|A_s|$ (prop. 8), and we consider the algebra $\overline{\Delta(H_0, \sigma)}$; remark that the restriction of σ to H_0 remains regular, that $\overline{\Delta(H_0, \sigma)} \subset \overline{\Delta(H, \sigma)}$ and $\pi_s(\overline{\Delta(H_0, \sigma)})'' = \pi_s(\overline{\Delta(H, \sigma)})''$ (prop. 5). The restriction of the state ω_s on $\overline{\Delta(H, \sigma)}$ to $\overline{\Delta(H_0, \sigma)}$ is again a quasi-free state, we denote it by the same symbol.

Theorem 2. *Let $s \in \mathcal{S}$, T_1 and T_2 defined as in (3) and (4), then π_s is a subrepresentation of the representation*

$$\pi(\delta_\psi) = \pi_J(\delta_{T_1, \psi}) \otimes \pi_J(\delta_{T_2, \psi}) \quad \text{for all } \psi \in H_0 \text{ of } \Delta(H_0, \sigma), \quad (5)$$

on $\mathcal{H}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}_J$ ($\mathcal{H}_J = \text{Fock space associated with } \pi_J$) with cyclic vector $\Omega_J \otimes \Omega_J$ and reproducing the quasi-free state ω_s .

The proof of this theorem is only a matter of verification by noting that the domains of T_1 and T_2 contain H_0 .

Proposition 11. *All representations π induced by the states $\omega_s \in Q_0$ such that $s \in \mathcal{S}$ are primary.*

Proof. One readily verifies that π' defined by

$$\pi'(\delta_\psi) = \pi_J(\delta_{T_2, \psi}) \otimes \pi_J(\delta_{T_1, \psi}), \quad \psi \in H_0,$$

is a representation of $\overline{\Delta(H_0, \sigma)}$ commuting with the representation π defined in (5).

Let L be the von Neumann algebra generated by the representation $\pi(5)$, then

$$\{\pi'(\delta_\psi) | \psi \in H_0\}'' \subset L'.$$

Remark that

$$\pi(\delta_{T_1, \psi}) \pi'(\delta_{T_2, \psi}) \text{ is equal to } \pi_J(\delta_\psi) \otimes 1 \text{ up to a scalar}$$

and that

$$\pi(\delta_{T_2, \psi}) \pi'(\delta_{T_1, \psi}) \text{ is equal to } 1 \otimes \pi_J(\delta_\psi) \text{ up to a scalar.}$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_J) \otimes 1 \subset \{L \cup L'\}''$$

and

$$1 \otimes \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_J) \subset \{L \cup L'\}''.$$

The set of operators $P \otimes Q$ on $\mathcal{H}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}_J$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}_J)$ and every operator of this form commuting with $\{L \cup L'\}''$ must be a multiple of the identity. Consequently

$$\{L \cup L'\}' = L \cap L' = C1.$$

q.e.d.

Proposition 12. *A state $\omega_s \in Q_0$ is pure if and only if $A_s^+ A_s = 1$.*

Proof. If $A_s^+ A_s = 1$ then ω_s is a Fock state and therefore pure. On the other hand if ω_s is pure, we prove that $A_s^+ A_s = 1$. Suppose that $A_s^+ A_s \neq 1$ then a vector $\psi \in H$ can be found such that $K^2 \psi = ((A_s^+ A_s)^{1/2} - 1) \psi \neq 0$. We define the operator E by

$$E\varphi = \frac{s_J(K\psi, \varphi)}{s_J(K\psi, K\psi)^{1/2}} K\psi, \quad s_J(\psi, \psi) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H_0,$$

where J , is the unitary part of the polar decomposition of A_s . We define the bilinear, symmetric form s^E on H by

$$s^E(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = s(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) - s_J(\varphi_1, E\psi) s_J(E\psi, \varphi_2)$$

satisfying

$$s^E(\varphi, \varphi) \geq s_J(\varphi, \varphi)$$

and therefore

$$|\sigma(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)|^2 \leq s^E(\varphi_1, \varphi_1) s^E(\varphi_2, \varphi_2).$$

Consequently the linear forms ω_λ on the C.C.R. algebra defined by

$$\omega_\lambda(\delta_\varphi) = \exp \left\{ i\lambda s_J(E\psi, \varphi) - \frac{1}{2} s^E(\varphi, \varphi) \right\}, \varphi \in H,$$

belong to the set Q . One readily verifies that

$$\omega_s = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} e^{-\lambda^2/2} \omega_\lambda$$

which proves that ω_s is not pure, in contradiction with the assumption.

Finally we discuss the quasi-free states ω_s such that $s \notin \mathcal{S}$. This means that s satisfies the formula (2) but the symplectic form σ has not a continuous, regular extension to \bar{H}^s .

Theorem 3. *Let s be a bilinear, symmetric, positive definite form on H , such that $|\sigma(\psi, \varphi)| \leq s(\psi, \psi)^{1/2} s(\varphi, \varphi)^{1/2}$ for all $\psi, \varphi \in H$, and such that the continuous extension σ' of σ to \bar{H}^s is not regular, then ω_s is a quasi-free state. The representation π_s induced by ω_s is not primary.*

Proof. Let ψ in \bar{H}^s such that $\sigma(\psi, \varphi) = 0$ for any $\varphi \in \bar{H}^s$, and let $(\psi_n)_{n \in N}$ any sequence in H which converges to ψ . The sequence of unitary operators $(\pi_s(\delta_{\psi_n}))_{n \in N}$ converges in strong sense to an operator U : for any $p \in N$ and any $\varphi \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\pi_s(\delta_{\psi_n}) - \pi_s(\delta_{\psi_{n+p}})] \delta_\varphi\|^2 &= 2[1 - \Re e \exp \{i(\sigma(\psi_n, \psi_{n+p}) \\ &\quad - \sigma(\varphi, \psi_n) - \sigma(\psi_{n+p}, \varphi)) - 1/2 \|\psi_n - \psi_{n+p}\|^2\}], \end{aligned}$$

vanishes when n goes to infinity. From the corollary of lemma 2.2 in [4], we know that U is unitary. U is commuting with $\pi_s(\delta_\varphi)$ for any $\varphi \in H$, from strong continuity of the mapping $S \rightarrow ST$ and $S \rightarrow TS$, together with the relation

$$\pi_s(\delta_{\psi_n}) \pi_s(\delta_\varphi) = e^{2i\sigma(\psi_n, \varphi)} \pi_s(\delta_\varphi) \pi_s(\delta_{\psi_n}).$$

Consequently $U \in \pi_s(\overline{\Delta(H, \sigma)})'' \cap \pi_s(\overline{\Delta(H, \sigma)})'$. Nevertheless U is not a scalar operator because if $U = \lambda I$, it would follow from unitarity of U , $|\lambda| = 1$, and this would contradict:

$$|\langle \delta_0 | U \delta_0 \rangle| = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \|\psi\|^2} < 1.$$

Therefore π_s is not a primary representation.

Acknowledgements. We are greatly indebted to Prof. D. KASTLER for the suggestion of this work and for his valuable aid. Thanks are also due to Dr. E. BALSLEV and Prof. A. GUICHARDET for discussions. One of us (A. VERBEURE) thanks also Prof. D. KASTLER for his kind hospitality at the University of Aix-Marseille. A. VERBEURE is indebted to D.G.R.S.T. for financial support.

References

1. ROBINSON, D. W.: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1**, 159 (1965).
2. MANUCEAU, J.: *C*-algèbre des Relations de Commutation. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **2**, 139 (1968).
3. HAAG, R., N. HUGENHOLTZ, and M. WINNINK: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **5**, 215—226 (1967).
4. ARAKI, H., and E. J. WOODS: *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 637 (1963).
5. DUNFORD, N., and J. T. SCHWARTZ: *Linear operators*. New-York: Interscience Publ. Inc. 1958.
6. NAIMARK, M. A.: *Normed Rings*. N. V. Groningen, The Netherlands: P. Noordhoff 1959.
7. BALSLEV, E., J. MANUCEAU, and A. VERBEURE: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **8**, 315 (1968).
8. KASTLER, D.: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1**, 14 (1965).
9. RIESZ, F., et B. SZ-NAGY: *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle*. Budapest 1953.

J. MANUCEAU
Centre de Physique Théorique C.N.R.S.
31, Chemin Joseph Aiguier
F 13 Marseille 9e